



# **SYNERGY**

**Synergy for Smart Multi-Objective Optimisation**

## **D3.6**

**Edited book on high-performance optimisation**

El-Ghazali Talbi

31 January 2019



This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 692286.

**CONTENTS**

|    |  |   |
|----|--|---|
| 1. | Introduction .....                             | 3 |
| 2. | Goals .....                                    | 3 |
| 3. | Results.....                                   | 3 |
| 4. | Outcome .....                                  | 4 |
|    | Appendix: Front pages of the edited book ..... | 4 |

# 1. Introduction

The edited book on “High-Performance Simulation-Based Optimization” was carried out as an activity of the SYNERGY work package WP3.

It was edited by the three partners of the SYNERGY project: JSI (Slovenia), CUAS (Germany) and USTL (France).

# 2. Goals

The book is intended to be a state of the art of designing high-performance algorithms that combine machine learning and optimization in solving complex problems. This edited book provides theoretical treatments and real-world insights gained by experience, all contributed by leading researchers. It aims to serve as a comprehensive reference for researchers, practitioners and advanced-level students interested in the theory and practice of using computational intelligence in expensive optimization problems.

Indeed, many single- and multi-objective optimization problems in science and industry involve time-consuming simulations and expensive objective functions. Traditional optimization algorithms cannot be used efficiently to solve such problems. The combination of computational intelligence, machine learning and high-performance computing can be an efficient way to deal with such problems.

# 3. Results

Many world-wide class researchers involved in the topics of the book have been invited. More than 25 invitations have been given. All chapters have been reviewed by the editors of the book and 12 chapters have been selected for the edited book.

More than 12 SYNERGY meetings between the partners of the project have been held to deal with the edited book project. The main agenda of the various meetings were the invitation of

leading researchers, reviewing of the contributions, and preparing book for submission to Springer.

## 4. Outcome

The book was edited by Thomas Bartz-Beielstein, Bogdan Filipič, Peter Korošec, and El-Ghazali Talbi. The book has been submitted to Springer for publication on 3 December 2018.

The book is composed of three parts and 12 chapters. Part I deals with many-objective optimization problems. Part II deals with surrogate-based optimization. Part III of the book highlights parallel optimization aspects.

## Appendix: Front pages of the edited book

The appendix contains the preface, acknowledgement, table of contents and list of contributors of the edited book consisting of all the invited, reviewed and selected chapters.

# Preface

Many single- and multi-objective optimization problems in science and industry involve time-consuming simulations and expensive objective functions. Traditional optimization algorithms cannot be used efficiently to solve such problems. The combination of computational intelligence, machine learning and high-performance computing can be an efficient way to deal with such problems. The book is intended to be a state of the art of designing high-performance algorithms that combine machine learning and optimization in solving complex problems. This edited book provides theoretical treatments and real-world insights gained by experience, all contributed by leading researchers. It aims to serve as a comprehensive reference for researchers, practitioners and advanced-level students interested in the theory and practice of using computational intelligence in expensive optimization problems.

The book is composed of three parts. Part I deals with many-objective optimization problems.

Chapter 1 is a tutorial on multi-objective optimization based on evolutionary algorithms. This tutorial presents a review of the most important fundamentals in multi-objective optimization and then introduces representative algorithms, illustrates their working principles, and discusses their application scope. In addition, the tutorial describes statistical performance assessment. Finally, it highlights recent important trends and closely related research fields. The tutorial is intended for readers, who want to acquire basic knowledge on the mathematical foundations of multi-objective optimization and state-of-the-art methods in evolutionary multi-objective optimization. The aim is to provide a starting point for researching in this active area, and it should also help the advanced reader to identify open research topics.

Chapter 2 deals with many-objective optimization with limited computing budget. In this chapter, the authors introduce a surrogate-assisted optimization algorithm for many-objective optimization (SaMaO) which is capable of delivering converged and well distributed set of solutions within a limited computing budget. The proposed algorithm successfully combines features of state-of-the-art many-objective optimization and surrogate-assisted optimization strategies. The algorithm relies on

principles of decomposition and adaption of reference vectors for effective search. The flexibility of function representation is offered through the use of multiple types of surrogate models.

In Chapter 3, the authors focus on multi-objective Bayesian optimization for engineering optimization. Bayesian optimization methodologies replace a single optimization of the objective function by a sequence of optimization problems: this makes sense as the acquisition function is cheap-to-evaluate whereas the objective is not. Depending on the goal, different acquisition functions are available: multi-objective acquisition functions are relatively new and this chapter gives a state-of-the-art overview and illustrates some approaches based on hypervolume improvement. It is shown that the quality of the model is crucial for the performance of Bayesian optimization. This is illustrated by using the more flexible Student-t processes as surrogate models.

The interest of Chapter 4 is put on the automatic configuration of multi-objective optimizers and multi-objective configuration. In this chapter, the authors review two main aspects where the research on automatic configuration and multi-objective optimization intersect. The first one is the automatic configuration of multi-objective optimizers, where the authors discuss means and specific approaches. In addition, they detail a case study that shows how these approaches can be used to design new, high-performing multi-objective evolutionary algorithms. The second aspect is the research on multi-objective configuration, that is, the possibility of using multiple performance metrics for the evaluation of algorithm configurations.

Chapter 5 describes optimization and visualization in many-objective space trajectory design. This work optimizes the thrusting profile of a low-thrust spacecraft propelled by an ion engine to raise from Earth's low orbit to the vicinity of the Moon. The optimization problem involves of thirty-two variables. Four objective functions are considered, namely the operation time of the ion engine system, time to reach the Moon, maximum eclipse time, and the initial mass of the spacecraft, subject to various constraints. The authors use the many-objective optimizer named Adaptive  $\epsilon$ -Sampling and  $\epsilon$ -Hood (A $\epsilon$ S $\epsilon$ H) to search for non-dominated solutions, analyze the trade-offs between variables and objectives, and use a method called visualization with projections to gain insights into the problem and to analyze the dynamics of the optimization algorithm

Part II deals with surrogate-based optimization.

In Chapter 6, the author details simulation optimization through regression and Kriging metamodels. This chapter surveys two methods for the optimization of real-world systems that are modelled through simulation. These methods use either linear regression or Kriging (Gaussian processes) metamodels. The metamodel guides the design of the experiment; this design fixes the input combinations of the simulation model. The linear-regression metamodel uses a sequence of local first-order and second-order polynomials—known as response surface methodology (RSM). Kriging models are global, but are re-estimated through sequential designs. Robust optimization may use RSM or Kriging to account for uncertainty in simulation inputs.

Chapter 7 focuses on a better integration of surrogate models and optimization. There are two main approaches to the integration of the surrogate and the optimizer: (1) The Surrogate-assisted evolutionary algorithms (SAEAs) alternates between improving the surrogate model and improving the estimate of the optimum (via an optimizer operating on an acquisition function) and (2) certain parts of the SAEA (e.g., selection in evolutionary algorithms) are enhanced by the knowledge obtained through the surrogate model. In this chapter, the authors focus on the first approach. In the literature, several SAEAs have been proposed for use cases with small evaluation budgets. These algorithms can mainly be distinguished by the infill criterion they use, i.e., their strategy for selecting new sample(s) to update the surrogate model.

Chapter 8 describes surrogate-assisted evolutionary optimization for large problems. This chapter highlights the major challenges in solving problems with a large number of objectives (known as many-objective problems) and a large number of decision variables (large-scale optimization problems). In addition, the authors present two recently proposed algorithms called Kriging-assisted reference vector guided EA (K-RVEA) and surrogate-assisted cooperative swarm optimization algorithm (SA-COSO) in the field of expensive many-objectives and large-scale optimization.

In Chapter 9, the authors give an overview and comparison of Gaussian process-based surrogate models for mixed continuous and discrete variables and their application on aerospace design problems. Surrogate modeling is an increasingly popular tool for engineering design as it enables to model the performance of very complex systems with a limited computational cost. A large number of techniques exist for the surrogate modeling of continuous functions, however, only very few methods for the surrogate modeling of mixed continuous/discrete functions have been developed. In this chapter, existing adaptations and variants of Gaussian process-based surrogate modeling techniques for mixed continuous/discrete variables are described, discussed and compared on several analytical test-cases and aerospace design problems.

In Chapter 10, the last chapter of this part, the authors investigate some open issues in surrogate-assisted optimization. This chapter outlines the existing challenges in this field that include benchmarking, constraint handling, constructing ensembles of surrogates and solving discrete and/or multi-objective optimization problems. The authors discuss shortcomings of existing techniques, propose suggestions for improvements and give an outlook on promising research directions. This is valuable for practitioners and researchers alike, since the increased availability of computational resources on the one hand and the continuous development of new approaches on the other hand raise many intricate new problems in this field.

Part III of the book highlights parallel optimization aspects.

Chapter 11 proposes a parallel island model for hypervolume based many-objective optimization. Parallelism arises as an attractive option when Multi-objective Evolutionary Algorithms (MOEAs) demand an intensive use of CPU or memory. The computational complexity of a MOEA depends on the scalability of its input parameters (i.e., the number of decision variables, the number of objectives, the population size, etc.) and on the computational cost of evaluating the objectives of

the problem. Nonetheless, current research efforts have focused only on the second case. Therefore, in this chapter, the authors investigate the performance and behavior of S-PAMICRO, a recently proposed parallelization of SMS-EMOA that inhibits exponential execution time as the number of objectives increases. The idea behind S-PAMICRO is to divide the overall population into several semi-independent subpopulations each of which consists of very few individuals. Each subpopulation evolves a serial SMS-EMOA with an external archive for maintaining diversity.

Chapter 12 describes a many-core branch-and-bound algorithm for GPU accelerators and MIC coprocessors. In this chapter, the authors investigate the offload-based parallel design and implementation of branch-and-bound algorithms for coprocessors addressing these issues. Two major many-core architectures are considered and compared: Nvidia GPU and Intel MIC. The proposed approaches have been experimented using the Flow-Shop scheduling problem and two hardware configurations equivalent in terms of energy consumption: Nvidia Tesla K40 and Intel Xeon Phi 5110P. The reported results show that the GPU-accelerated approach outperforms the MIC offload-based one even in its vectorized version. Moreover, vectorization improves the efficiency of the MIC offload-based approach by a factor of two.

December 2018

*Thomas Bartz-Beielstein*  
TH Köln, Cologne, Germany

*Bogdan Filipič*  
Jožef Stefan Institute, Ljubljana, Slovenia

*Peter Korošec*  
Jožef Stefan Institute, Ljubljana, Slovenia

*El-Ghazali Talbi*  
University Lille, Lille, France



## **Acknowledgements**

This work is part of a project that has received funding from the *European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme* under grant agreement no. 692286.



# Contents

## Part I Many-Objective Optimization

|   |    |
|---|----|
| <b>1 Infill Criteria for Multiobjective Bayesian Optimization</b> . . . . .         | 3  |
| Michael T. M. Emmerich, Kaifeng Yang, and André H. Deutz                            |    |
| 1.1 Introduction . . . . .  | 3  |
| 1.2 Definition and Analysis of Infill Criteria . . . . .                            | 5  |
| 1.3 Numerical Experiments . . . . .   | 13 |
| 1.4 Conclusions and Outlook . . . . .   | 14 |
| References . . . . .  | 15 |
| <b>2 Many-Objective Optimization with Limited Computing Budget</b> . . . . .        | 19 |
| Kalyan Shankar Bhattacharjee, Hemant Kumar Singh, and Tapabrata Ray                 |    |
| 2.1 Introduction . . . . .  | 20 |
| 2.2 Surrogate-Assisted Multi-Objective Optimization . . . . .                       | 22 |
| 2.3 Numerical Examples . . . . .  | 27 |
| 2.4 Summary and Conclusions . . . . .   | 33 |
| Appendix . . . . .  | 36 |
| References . . . . .  | 43 |
| <b>3 Multi-Objective Bayesian Optimization for Engineering Simulation</b> . . . . . | 47 |
| Joachim van der Herten, Nicolas Knudde, Ivo Couckuyt, and Tom<br>Dhaene             |    |
| 3.1 Introduction . . . . .  | 47 |
| 3.2 Bayesian Optimization . . . . .   | 49 |
| 3.3 Multi-Objective Acquisition Functions . . . . .                                 | 56 |
| 3.4 Multi-Objective Bayesian Optimization with Student- $t$ Processes . . . . .     | 62 |
| 3.5 Conclusion . . . . .  | 66 |
| References . . . . .  | 66 |

|   |  |     |
|---|--|-----|
| <b>4</b>                                    | <b>Automatic Configuration of Multi-Objective Optimizers and Multi-Objective Configuration</b> | 71  |
|   | Leonardo C. T. Bezerra, Manuel López-Ibáñez, and Thomas Stützle                                |     |
| 4.1   | Introduction   | 72  |
| 4.2   | Automatic Algorithm Configuration  | 73  |
| 4.3   | Automatic Configuration of Multi-Objective Optimizers  | 78  |
| 4.4   | Case Study: Automatic Configuration of MOEAs   | 82  |
| 4.5   | Multi-Objective Configuration of Algorithms  | 87  |
| 4.6   | Summary  | 89  |
|   | References   | 90  |
| <b>5</b>                                    | <b>Optimization and Visualization in Many-Objective Space</b>                                  |     |
|   | <b>Trajectory Design</b>   | 97  |
|   | Hernán Aguirre, Kiyoshi Tanaka, Tea Tušar, and Bogdan Filipić                                  |     |
| 5.1   | Introduction   | 97  |
| 5.2   | DESTINY Spacecraft Trajectory Design Problem   | 100 |
| 5.3   | The Adaptive $\varepsilon$ -Sampling and $\varepsilon$ -Hood Algorithm                         | 101 |
| 5.4   | Visualization  | 104 |
| 5.5   | Optimization Results and Their Analysis  | 108 |
| 5.6   | Conclusions  | 112 |
|   | References   | 113 |
| <b>Part II Surrogate-Based Optimization</b> |  |     |
| <b>6</b>                                    | <b>Simulation Optimization through Regression or Kriging</b>                                   |     |
|   | <b>Metamodels</b>  | 121 |
|   | Jack P. C. Kleijnen  |     |
| 6.1   | Introduction   | 121 |
| 6.2   | Classic Linear Regression and Designs  | 124 |
| 6.3   | Classic Assumptions versus Simulation Practice   | 128 |
| 6.4   | Response Surface Methodology   | 132 |
| 6.5   | Kriging Metamodels and Their Designs   | 135 |
| 6.6   | Kriging for Optimization   | 138 |
| 6.7   | Robust Optimization  | 139 |
| 6.8   | Conclusions  | 140 |
|   | References   | 140 |
| <b>7</b>                                    | <b>Towards Better Integration of Surrogate Models and Optimizers</b>                           | 145 |
|   | Tinkle Chugh, Alma Rahat, Vanessa Volz, and Martin Zaefferer                                   |     |
| 7.1   | Introduction   | 146 |
| 7.2   | Efficient Global Optimization (EGO)  | 149 |
| 7.3   | Integration of Model and Optimizer   | 153 |
| 7.4   | Numerical Experiments  | 157 |
| 7.5   | Conclusions and Outlook  | 167 |
|   | References   | 168 |

|                                       |   |     |
|---------------------------------------|---|-----|
| <b>8</b>                              | <b>Surrogate-Assisted Evolutionary Optimization of Large Problems</b>   | 173 |
|                                       | Tinkle Chugh, Chaoli Sun, Handing Wang, and Yaochu Jin  |     |
| 8.1                                   | Introduction  | 173 |
| 8.2                                   | Challenges for Solving Large Optimization Problems  | 174 |
| 8.3                                   | Methods for Large and Expensive Optimization Problems   | 177 |
| 8.4                                   | Numerical Experiments   | 187 |
| 8.5                                   | Summary and Future Work   | 192 |
|                                       | References  | 192 |
| <b>9</b>                              | <b>Overview and Comparison of Gaussian Process-Based Surrogate Models for Mixed Continuous and Discrete Variables: Application on Aerospace Design Problems</b> | 197 |
|                                       | Julien Pelamatti, Loïc Brevault, Mathieu Balesdent, El-Ghazali Talbi, and Yannick Guerin  |     |
| 9.1                                   | Introduction  | 197 |
| 9.2                                   | Problem Statement   | 199 |
| 9.3                                   | Gaussian Process-Based Surrogate Modeling   | 201 |
| 9.4                                   | Results   | 213 |
| 9.5                                   | Results Synthesis and Analysis  | 229 |
| 9.6                                   | Conclusions and Perspectives  | 230 |
|                                       | References  | 231 |
| <b>10</b>                             | <b>Open Issues in Surrogate-Assisted Optimization</b>   | 235 |
|                                       | Jörg Stork, Martina Friese, Martin Zaefferer, Thomas Bartz-Beielstein, Andreas Fischbach, Beate Breiderhoff, Boris Naujoks, and Tea Tušar                       |     |
| 10.1                                  | Introduction  | 235 |
| 10.2                                  | Benchmarking  | 237 |
| 10.3                                  | Constraints   | 240 |
| 10.4                                  | Ensembles of Surrogates   | 241 |
| 10.5                                  | Discrete Problems   | 244 |
| 10.6                                  | Multi-Objective Optimization  | 246 |
| 10.7                                  | Further Topics  | 248 |
| 10.8                                  | Conclusion  | 250 |
|                                       | References  | 250 |
| <b>Part III Parallel Optimization</b> |   |     |
| <b>11</b>                             | <b>A Parallel Island Model for Hypervolume-Based Many-Objective Optimization</b>  | 259 |
|                                       | Raquel Hernández Gómez, Carlos A. Coello Coello, and Enrique Alba   |     |
| 11.1                                  | Introduction  | 260 |
| 11.2                                  | Multi-Objective Optimization  | 262 |
| 11.3                                  | S-PAMICRO   | 265 |
| 11.4                                  | Experimental Results  | 269 |
| 11.5                                  | Conclusions and Future Work   | 274 |
|                                       | References  | 275 |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| Appendix .....  | 277 |
| <b>12 Many-Core Branch-and-Bound for GPU Accelerators and MIC</b> |     |
| <b>Coprocessors</b> .....   | 289 |
| Nouredine Melab, Jan Gmys, Mohand Mezmaz, and Daniel Tuytens      |     |
| 12.1 Introduction .....   | 290 |
| 12.2 Coprocessor-Accelerated Branch-and-Bound: The General Design | 291 |
| 12.3 GPU-Based Implementation of Branch-and-Bound .....           | 292 |
| 12.4 MIC-Based Implementation of Branch-and-Bound .....           | 297 |
| 12.5 Experimentation .....  | 301 |
| 12.6 Conclusion .....   | 304 |
| References .....  | 305 |

## List of Contributors

Hernán Aguirre  
Faculty of Engineering, Shinshu University, 4-17-1 Wakasato, Nagano, 380-8553  
Japan, e-mail: [ahernan@shinshu-u.ac.jp](mailto:ahernan@shinshu-u.ac.jp)

Enrique Alba  
Universidad de Málaga, Málaga 29071, Spain, e-mail: [eat@lcc.uma.es](mailto:eat@lcc.uma.es)

Mathieu Balesdent  
ONERA/DTIS, Université Paris Saclay, F-91123 Palaiseau Cedex, France, e-mail:  
[mathieu.balesdent@onera.fr](mailto:mathieu.balesdent@onera.fr)

Thomas Bartz-Beielstein  
Institute of Data Science, Engineering, and Analytics, Faculty of Computer Science  
and Engineering Science, TH Köln, Steinmüllerallee 1, 51643 Gummersbach,  
Germany, e-mail: [thomas.bartz-beielstein@th-koeln.de](mailto:thomas.bartz-beielstein@th-koeln.de)

Leonardo C. T. Bezerra  
Instituto Metr pole Digital (IMD), Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do  
Norte (UFRN), Natal, RN, Brazil, e-mail: [leobezerra@imd.ufrn.br](mailto:leobezerra@imd.ufrn.br)

Beate Breiderhoff  
Institute of Data Science, Engineering, and Analytics, Faculty of Computer Science  
and Engineering Science, TH K ln, Steinm llerallee 1, 51643 Gummersbach,  
Germany, e-mail: [beate.breiderhoff@th-koeln.de](mailto:beate.breiderhoff@th-koeln.de)

Lo c Brevault  
ONERA/DTIS, Université Paris Saclay, F-91123 Palaiseau Cedex, France, e-mail:  
[loic.brevault@onera.fr](mailto:loic.brevault@onera.fr)

Tinkle Chugh  
Faculty of Information Technology, University of Jyvaskyla, FI-40014 University of  
Jyvaskyla, Finland, e-mail: [tinkle.chugh@jyu.fi](mailto:tinkle.chugh@jyu.fi)

Carlos A. Coello Coello

CINVESTAV-IPN (Evolutionary Computation Group), Computer Science  
Department, Ciudad de México 07360, México, e-mail: [ccoello@cs.cinvestav.mx](mailto:ccoello@cs.cinvestav.mx)

Ivo Couckuyt  
Ghent University - imec, IDLab, iGent Tower - Department of Electronics and  
Information Systems, Technologiepark-Zwijnaarde 15, B-9052 Ghent, Belgium,  
e-mail: [ivo.couckuyt@ugent.be](mailto:ivo.couckuyt@ugent.be)

André H. Deutz  
LIACS, Leiden University, Niels Bohrweg 1, 2333 CA Leiden, The Netherlands,  
e-mail: [a.h.deutz@liacs.leidenuniv.nl](mailto:a.h.deutz@liacs.leidenuniv.nl)

Tom Dhaene  
Ghent University - imec, IDLab, iGent Tower - Department of Electronics and  
Information Systems, Technologiepark-Zwijnaarde 15, B-9052 Ghent, Belgium,  
e-mail: [tom.dhaene@ugent.be](mailto:tom.dhaene@ugent.be)

Michael T. M. Emmerich  
LIACS, Leiden University, Niels Bohrweg 1, 2333 CA Leiden, The Netherlands,  
e-mail: [m.t.m.emmerich@liacs.leidenuniv.nl](mailto:m.t.m.emmerich@liacs.leidenuniv.nl)

Bogdan Filipič  
Department of Intelligent Systems, Jožef Stefan Institute, Jamova cesta 39, SI-1000  
Ljubljana, Slovenia, e-mail: [bogdan.filipic@ijs.si](mailto:bogdan.filipic@ijs.si)

Andreas Fischbach  
Institute of Data Science, Engineering, and Analytics, Faculty of Computer Science  
and Engineering Science, TH Köln, Steinmüllerallee 1, 51643 Gummersbach,  
Germany, e-mail: [andreas.fischbach@th-koeln.de](mailto:andreas.fischbach@th-koeln.de)

Martina Friese  
Institute of Data Science, Engineering, and Analytics, Faculty of Computer Science  
and Engineering Science, TH Köln, Steinmüllerallee 1, 51643 Gummersbach,  
Germany, e-mail: [martina.friese@th-koeln.de](mailto:martina.friese@th-koeln.de)

Jan Gmys  
Mathematics and Operational Research Department (MathRO), University of Mons,  
Belgium, e-mail: [Jan.Gmys@umons.ac.be](mailto:Jan.Gmys@umons.ac.be)

Yannick Guerin  
CNES, Direction des lanceurs, 75612 Paris Cedex, France, e-mail: [yannick.guerin@cnes.fr](mailto:yannick.guerin@cnes.fr)

Raquel Hernández Gómez  
CINVESTAV-IPN (Evolutionary Computation Group), Computer Science  
Department, Ciudad de México 07360, México, e-mail: [rhernandez@computacion.cs.cinvestav.mx](mailto:rhernandez@computacion.cs.cinvestav.mx)

Joachim van der Herten  
Ghent University - imec, IDLab, iGent Tower - Department of Electronics and



Information Systems, Technologiepark-Zwijnaarde 15, B-9052 Ghent, Belgium,  
e-mail: [joachim.vanderherten@ugent.be](mailto:joachim.vanderherten@ugent.be)

Yaochu Jin

Department of Computer Science, University of Surrey, UK, e-mail: [yaochu.jin@surrey.ac.uk](mailto:yaochu.jin@surrey.ac.uk)

Jack P. C. Kleijnen

Tilburg University, Postbox 90153, Tilburg, Netherlands, e-mail: [kleijnen@tilburguniversity.edu](mailto:kleijnen@tilburguniversity.edu)

Nicolas Knudde

Ghent University - imec, IDLab, iGent Tower - Department of Electronics and Information Systems, Technologiepark-Zwijnaarde 15, B-9052 Ghent, Belgium,  
e-mail: [nicolas.knudde@ugent.be](mailto:nicolas.knudde@ugent.be)

Hemant Kumar Singh

School of Engineering and Information Technology, The University of New South Wales, Australia, e-mail: [h.singh@adfa.edu.au](mailto:h.singh@adfa.edu.au)

Manuel López-Ibáñez

Alliance Manchester Business School, University of Manchester, UK, e-mail: [manuel.lopez-ibanez@manchester.ac.uk](mailto:manuel.lopez-ibanez@manchester.ac.uk)

Nouredine Melab

Inria Lille - Nord Europe, CNRS/CRISAL, Université Lille 1, France, e-mail: [Nouredine.Melab@univ-lille1.fr](mailto:Nouredine.Melab@univ-lille1.fr)

Mohand Mezmaz

Mathematics and Operational Research Department (MathRO), University of Mons, Belgium, e-mail: [Mohand.Mezmaz@umons.ac.be](mailto:Mohand.Mezmaz@umons.ac.be)

Boris Naujoks

Institute of Data Science, Engineering, and Analytics, Faculty of Computer Science and Engineering Science, TH Köln, Steinmüllerallee 1, 51643 Gummersbach, Germany, e-mail: [boris.naujoks@th-koeln.de](mailto:boris.naujoks@th-koeln.de)

Julien Pelamatti

ONERA/DTIS, Université Paris Saclay, CNES, Direction des lanceurs, University of Lille, e-mail: [julien.pelamatti@onera.fr](mailto:julien.pelamatti@onera.fr)

Alma Rahat

Department of Computer Science, University of Exeter, United Kingdom, e-mail: [A.A.M.Rahat@exeter.ac.uk](mailto:A.A.M.Rahat@exeter.ac.uk)

Tapabrata Ray

School of Engineering and Information Technology, The University of New South Wales, Australia, e-mail: [t.ray@adfa.edu.au](mailto:t.ray@adfa.edu.au)

Kalyan Shankar Bhattacharjee

School of Engineering and Information Technology, The University of New South

Wales, Australia, e-mail: [k.bhattacharjee@student.adfa.edu.au](mailto:k.bhattacharjee@student.adfa.edu.au)

Jörg Stork

Institute of Data Science, Engineering, and Analytics, Faculty of Computer Science and Engineering Science, TH Köln, Steinmüllerallee 1, 51643 Gummersbach, Germany, e-mail: [joerg.stork@th-koeln.de](mailto:joerg.stork@th-koeln.de)

Thomas Stütze

IRIDIA, Université Libre de Bruxelles (ULB), Brussels, Belgium, e-mail: [stuetzle@ulb.ac.be](mailto:stuetzle@ulb.ac.be)

Chaoli Sun

Department of Computer Science and Technology, Taiyuan University of Science and Technology, Shanxi 030024, China, e-mail: [chaoli.sun.cn@gmail.com](mailto:chaoli.sun.cn@gmail.com)

El-Ghazali Talbi

Polytech'Lille – University of Lille , CNRS/CRIStAL, Inria Lille – Nord Europe, 59650 Villeneuve d'Ascq, France, e-mail: [el-ghazali.talbi@univ-lille.fr](mailto:el-ghazali.talbi@univ-lille.fr)

Kiyoshi Tanaka

Faculty of Engineering, Shinshu University, 4-17-1 Wakasato, Nagano, 380-8553 Japan, e-mail: [ktanaka@shinshu-u.ac.jp](mailto:ktanaka@shinshu-u.ac.jp)

Tea Tušar

Department of Intelligent Systems, Jožef Stefan Institute, Jamova cesta 39, SI-1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia, e-mail: [tea.tusar@ijs.si](mailto:tea.tusar@ijs.si)

Daniel Tuyttens

Mathematics and Operational Research Department (MathRO), University of Mons, Belgium, e-mail: [Daniel.Tuyttens@umons.ac.be](mailto:Daniel.Tuyttens@umons.ac.be)

Vanessa Volz

Department of Computer Science, TU Dortmund University, Germany, e-mail: [vanessa.volz@tu-dortmund.de](mailto:vanessa.volz@tu-dortmund.de)

K. Yang

LIACS, Leiden University, Niels Bohrweg 1, 2333 CA Leiden, The Netherlands, e-mail: [k.yang@liacs.leidenuniv.nl](mailto:k.yang@liacs.leidenuniv.nl)

Handing Wang

Department of Computer Science, University of Surrey, UK, e-mail: [handing.wang@surrey.ac.uk](mailto:handing.wang@surrey.ac.uk)

Martin Zaeferrer

Institute of Data Science, Engineering, and Analytics, Faculty of Computer Science and Engineering Science, TH Köln, Steinmüllerallee 1, 51643 Gummersbach, Germany, e-mail: [martin.zaeferrer@th-koeln.de](mailto:martin.zaeferrer@th-koeln.de)